

# Projective isomorphisms between rational surfaces

Niels Lubbes

RICAM, Austrian Academy of Sciences (FWF: P33003)

Joint work with

Bert Jüttler and Josef Schicho,  
Johannes Kepler University, Austria.



CGTA 2021  
Gozd Martuljek, Slovenia.  
September 2021

# The Problem

- If  $f$  is a rational map, then we denote its domain by  $\text{dom } f$  and the Zariski closure of its image by  $\text{img } f$ .
- Problem.** Given birational maps  $f: \text{dom } f \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  and  $g: \text{dom } g \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  such that  $\text{dom } f, \text{dom } g \in \{\mathbb{P}^2, \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1\}$  and  $n > 2$ , determine the set of projective isomorphisms between their images:

$$\mathcal{P}(f, g) := \{\rho \in \text{Aut } \mathbb{P}^n \mid \rho(\text{img } f) = \text{img } g\}.$$

- Example.**

$$\begin{aligned} f: \mathbb{P}^2 &\dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3, (x_0 : x_1 : x_2) \mapsto (x_0^6 x_1^2 : x_0 x_1^5 x_2^2 : x_1^3 x_2^5 : x_0^5 x_1 x_2^2 + 2x_0^5 x_2^3), \\ g: \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 &\dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3, (y_0 : y_1; y_2 : y_3) \mapsto (y_0^3 y_1^2 y_2^5 : y_0^3 y_1^2 y_2^5 + y_1^5 y_2^3 y_3^2 : \\ & y_0^2 y_1^3 y_3^5 : y_0^4 y_1 y_2^3 y_3^2 + y_0^5 y_2^2 y_3^3 + y_0^2 y_1^3 y_3^5). \end{aligned}$$

$\mathcal{P}(f, g) \subset \text{Aut } \mathbb{P}^3$  is up to a scalar factor represented by the following parametrized matrix with  $\alpha \neq 0$ :

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 4\alpha^5 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 32\alpha^6 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 32\alpha^6 & 4\alpha \end{bmatrix}$$

# Preliminaries

- **Projective space**  $\mathbb{P}^n$  is defined as  $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{(0, \dots, 0)\} / \sim$ , where  $(x_0, \dots, x_n) \sim (\lambda x_0, \dots, \lambda x_n)$  for all non-zero  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$ .
- A rational map  $f: \text{dom } f \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  is called **birational** if it is almost everywhere an isomorphism.
- **Example.** The following rational map is not defined if  $x y = 0$ :

$$t: \mathbb{C}^2 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{C}^2, \quad (x, y) \mapsto \left(\frac{1}{x}, \frac{1}{y}\right)$$

The dashed arrow indicates that the map is not everywhere defined.

Via the embedding  $\iota: \mathbb{C}^2 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ ,  $(x, y) \mapsto (1 : x : y)$  we can represent the map  $t$  projectively in terms of a tuple of polynomials:

$$f: \mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2, \quad (x_0 : x_1 : x_2) \mapsto (x_1 x_2 : x_0 x_2 : x_0 x_1)$$

Indeed, if  $x_0 x_1 x_2 \neq 0$  and  $x y \neq 0$ , then

$$f\left(1 : \frac{x_1}{x_0} : \frac{x_2}{x_0}\right) = \left(1 : \frac{x_0}{x_1} : \frac{x_0}{x_2}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \iota \circ t(x, y) = \left(1 : \frac{1}{x} : \frac{1}{y}\right)$$

The map  $f$  is birational, since it is almost everywhere an isomorphism, namely outside the coordinate lines  $x_0 = 0$ ,  $x_1 = 0$  and  $x_2 = 0$ .

- We call  $f: \text{dom } f \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  **regular** if it is everywhere defined.

# Compatible Reparametrizations

- A projective isomorphism  $\rho: \mathbb{P}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  such that  $\rho(\text{img } f) = \text{img } g$  induces a birational map  $r$  between the domains of  $f$  and  $g$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{img } f & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \text{img } g \\ \uparrow f & & \uparrow g \\ \text{dom } f & \xrightarrow{r} & \text{dom } g \end{array}$$

- $r = g^{-1} \circ \rho \circ f$  is an element of the **compatible reparametrizations**:

$$\mathcal{R}(f, g) := \{r \in \text{bir}(\text{dom } f, \text{dom } g) \mid \rho \circ f = g \circ r \text{ for some } \rho \in \mathcal{P}(f, g)\},$$

where  $\text{bir}(\text{dom } f, \text{dom } g)$  is the set of birational maps between the domains.

**Recall.**  $\mathcal{P}(f, g) := \{\rho \in \text{Aut } \mathbb{P}^n \mid \rho(\text{img } f) = \text{img } g\}$  denotes the set of projective isomorphism between the image surfaces.

- Strategy.**

*Step 1:* Determine a super set  $\mathcal{S}$  of  $\mathcal{R}(f, g)$ .

*Step 2:* Recover  $\mathcal{P}(f, g)$  from  $\mathcal{S}$ .

## Recover $\mathcal{P}(f, g)$ from $\mathcal{S} \supset \mathcal{R}(f, g)$ : part 1/3

- Example.** Suppose that  $g := f$ , where

$$f: \mathbb{P}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3, x \mapsto (x_0^2 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 : x_0 x_1 : x_0 x_2 : x_1 x_2)$$

Since  $f$  and  $g$  are both regular (thus everywhere defined) it follows that

$$\mathcal{S} := \{r_c\}_{c \in \mathcal{I}} \cong \text{Aut } \mathbb{P}^2 \quad \text{is a super set of } \mathcal{R}(f, g),$$

where an isomorphism  $r_c: \mathbb{P}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$  is a linear map of the form

$$x \mapsto (c_0 x_0 + c_1 x_1 + c_2 x_2 : c_3 x_0 + c_4 x_1 + c_5 x_2 : c_6 x_0 + c_7 x_1 + c_8 x_2),$$

and the index set is represented as

$$\mathcal{I} := \left\{ c \in \mathbb{F}^9 : \begin{array}{l} \text{the matrix } \begin{pmatrix} c_0 & c_1 & c_2 \\ c_3 & c_4 & c_5 \\ c_6 & c_7 & c_8 \end{pmatrix} \text{ has non-zero determinant} \\ \text{and its first non-zero entry is equal to one} \end{array} \right\}.$$

- Question.** How can we recover projective isomorphisms  $\mathcal{P}(f, g)$  from a super set  $\mathcal{S}$  of the compatible reparametrizations?

## Recover $\mathcal{P}(f, g)$ from $\mathcal{S} \supset \mathcal{R}(f, g)$ : part 2/3

- Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be defined as the set of all rational maps  $f: \text{dom } f \dashrightarrow \text{img } f \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{\dim f}$  such that  $\text{dom } f \in \{\mathbb{P}^2, \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1\}$ .
- Let  $M_f$  denote the **coefficient matrix** of  $f \in \mathcal{M}$  with respect to the standard basis for monomials of (bi)-degree equal to the components of  $f$ .
- Example.** The standard monomial basis for quadratic maps is

$$(x_0^2, x_0x_1, x_0x_2, x_1^2, x_1x_2, x_2^2),$$

If  $f: \mathbb{P}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3, x \mapsto (x_0^2 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 : x_0x_1 : x_0x_2 : x_1x_2)$ , then

$$M_f = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- In the next slide we explain with an example how to recover the projective isomorphisms  $\mathcal{P}(f, g)$  from a super set  $\mathcal{S}$  of the compatible reparametrizations  $\mathcal{R}(f, g)$ .
- Remark.** The idea in the next slide works in the general setting, although then we also have to take “base points” into account, which we will consider at slide 9.

## Recover $\mathcal{P}(f, g)$ from $\mathcal{S} \supset \mathcal{R}(f, g)$ : part 3/3

We denote an identity matrix and zero matrix by  $\mathbf{1}$  and  $\mathbf{0}$ , respectively.

Let  $\ker M_f$  be a matrix whose columns form a basis for the kernel of  $M_f$ .

Let  $\chi_U: \mathbb{P}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  denote the projective linear map corresponding to matrix  $U$ .

As before suppose that  $g := f$  and

$$f: \mathbb{P}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3, \quad x \mapsto (x_0^2 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 : x_0 x_1 : x_0 x_2 : x_1 x_2),$$

Recall that  $\mathcal{S} := \{r_c\}_{c \in \mathcal{I}} \cong \text{Aut } \mathbb{P}^2$  is in this case a super set of  $\mathcal{R}(f, g)$ .

**Proposition.**  $\mathcal{P}(f, g) = \{\chi_U \in \text{Aut } \mathbb{P}^n \mid U \oplus \mathbf{1} = E_{g \circ r_c} \cdot E_f^{-1} \text{ and } c \in \mathcal{J}\}$ ,

where

$$E_f = (M_f^\top \mid \ker M_f)^\top, \quad E_{g \circ r_c} = (M_{g \circ r_c}^\top \mid \ker M_f)^\top,$$

$$M_f = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\ker M_f)^\top = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_{g \circ r_c} =$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} c_0^2 + c_3^2 + c_6^2 & 2c_0c_1 + 2c_3c_4 + 2c_6c_7 & 2c_0c_2 + 2c_3c_5 + 2c_6c_8 & c_1^2 + c_4^2 + c_7^2 & 2c_1c_2 + 2c_4c_5 + 2c_7c_8 & c_2^2 + c_5^2 + c_8^2 \\ c_0c_3 & c_1c_3 + c_0c_4 & c_2c_3 + c_0c_5 & c_1c_4 & c_2c_4 + c_1c_5 & c_2c_5 \\ c_0c_6 & c_1c_6 + c_0c_7 & c_2c_6 + c_0c_8 & c_1c_7 & c_2c_7 + c_1c_8 & c_2c_8 \\ c_3c_6 & c_4c_6 + c_3c_7 & c_5c_6 + c_3c_8 & c_4c_7 & c_5c_7 + c_4c_8 & c_5c_8 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$\mathcal{J} = \{c \in \mathcal{I} \mid M_{g \circ r_c} \cdot \ker M_f = \mathbf{0}\}$ : "a set of algebraic equations" **7/16**

# Recall

- If  $f$  is a rational map into projective space, then  $\text{dom } f$  denotes its domain,  $\text{img } f \subset \mathbb{P}^{\dim f}$  the Zariski closure of its image,  $\text{dim } f$  denotes the projective embedding dimension.

- **Recall.** Rational maps with domain in  $\{\mathbb{P}^2, \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1\}$ :

$$\mathcal{M} := \{f: \text{dom } f \dashrightarrow \text{img } f \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{\dim f} \mid \text{dom } f \in \{\mathbb{P}^2, \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1\}\}.$$

The **projective isomorphisms** for  $f, g \in \mathcal{M}$ :

$$\mathcal{P}(f, g) := \{\rho \in \text{Aut } \mathbb{P}^{\dim f} \mid \rho(\text{img } f) = \text{img } g\}.$$

The **compatible reparametrizations** for  $f, g \in \mathcal{M}$ :

$$\mathcal{R}(f, g) := \{r \in \text{bir}(\text{dom } f, \text{dom } g) \mid \rho \circ f = g \circ r \text{ for some } \rho \in \mathcal{P}(f, g)\},$$

where  $\text{bir}(\text{dom } f, \text{dom } g)$  is the set of birational maps between the domains.

- **Problem.** For given birational maps  $f, g \in \mathcal{M}$  determine  $\mathcal{P}(f, g)$ .

- **Strategy.**

*Step 1:* Determine a super set  $\mathcal{S}$  of  $\mathcal{R}(f, g)$ .

*Step 2:* Recover  $\mathcal{P}(f, g)$  from  $\mathcal{S}$ .

- We still need to explain step 1 in case  $f$  and  $g$  are not regular.



## Base points and classes of rational functions 1/2

- Let the rational map  $f: \text{dom } f \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  send  $x$  to  $(f_0(x) : \dots : f_n(x))$ .
- We call  $p \in \text{dom } f$  an  **$m$ -fold simple base point** of  $f$  if almost all forms in the vector space  $\langle f_0, \dots, f_n \rangle$  vanish with multiplicity  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  at  $p$ .

**Remark.** In general there are also **infinitely near base points**.

- If  $\text{dom } f = \mathbb{P}^2$ ,  $f$  has an  $m_i$ -fold base point at  $p_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r$  and  $d = \deg f_0 = \dots = \deg f_n$ , then the **class** of  $f$  is defined as

$$[f] = d e_0 - m_1 e_1 - \dots - m_r e_r.$$

**Remark.** If  $\text{dom } f = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ , then the class  $[f]$  can be defined similarly.

- Example.** If  $f: \mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$  defined by

$$x \mapsto (x_1^3 - x_1^2 x_0 : x_1^2 x_2 : x_1 x_2^2 : x_1 x_2 x_0 + x_2^3 - x_2^2 x_0),$$

then  $p_1 := (1 : 0 : 0)$ ,  $p_2 := (1 : 1 : 0)$ ,  $p_3 := (1 : 0 : 1)$  are its base points and

$$[f] = 3 e_0 - 2 e_1 - e_2 - e_3.$$

Here  $d = 3$ ,  $p_1$  is a 2-fold base points and  $f(p_1) = (0 : 0 : 0 : 0) \notin \mathbb{P}^3$

## Base points and classes of rational functions 2/2

- **Recall.** If  $\text{dom } f = \mathbb{P}^2$ ,  $f$  has an  $m_i$ -fold base point at  $p_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r$ , then the **class** of  $f$  is defined as  $[f] = d e_0 - m_1 e_1 - \dots - m_r e_r$ , where  $d$  is the component degree of  $f$ .
- From a class  $c := d e_0 - m_1 e_1 - \dots - m_r e_r$  we consider (if it exists) a basis for the vector space of all forms of degree  $d$  that have a  $m_i$ -fold base point  $p_i$ :

$$\langle f_0, \dots, f_n \rangle$$

We shall denote  $n + 1$  by  $h^0(c)$ .

The **parametric map** of the class  $c$  is (up to  $\text{Aut } \mathbb{P}^n$ ) defined as

$$\Psi_c: \text{dom } f \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n, \quad x \mapsto \left( \frac{f_0}{q}(x) : \dots : \frac{f_n}{q}(x) \right) \quad \text{where } q := \gcd(f_0, \dots, f_n).$$

- **Example.** Let  $f: \mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$  be as in the previous slide:

$$x \mapsto (x_1^3 - x_1^2 x_0 : x_1^2 x_2 : x_1 x_2^2 : x_1 x_2 x_0 + x_2^3 - x_2^2 x_0).$$

Recall that  $[f] = 3 e_0 - 2 e_1 - e_2 - e_3$ .

The parametric map  $\Psi_{[f]}: \mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^4$  is (up to choice of basis) defined as

$$x \mapsto (x_1^3 - x_1^2 x_0 : x_1^2 x_2 : x_1 x_2^2 : x_1 x_2 x_0 : x_2^3 - x_2^2 x_0).$$

Notice that  $h^0([f]) = 4 + 1 = 5$ .

# Compatible reducers 1/6

- **Recall.** Rational maps with domain in  $\{\mathbb{P}^2, \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1\}$ :

$$\mathcal{M} := \{f: \text{dom } f \dashrightarrow \text{img } f \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{\dim f} \mid \text{dom } f \in \{\mathbb{P}^2, \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1\}\}.$$

The **projective isomorphisms** for  $f, g \in \mathcal{M}$ :

$$\mathcal{P}(f, g) := \{\rho \in \text{Aut } \mathbb{P}^{\dim f} \mid \rho(\text{img } f) = \text{img } g\}.$$

The **compatible reparametrizations** for  $f, g \in \mathcal{M}$ :

$$\mathcal{R}(f, g) := \{r \in \text{bir}(\text{dom } f, \text{dom } g) \mid \rho \circ f = g \circ r \text{ for some } \rho \in \mathcal{P}(f, g)\},$$

where  $\text{bir}(\text{dom } f, \text{dom } g)$  is the set of birational maps between the domains.

- **Problem.** For given birational maps  $f, g \in \mathcal{M}$  determine  $\mathcal{P}(f, g)$ .
- **Strategy.**  
*Step 1:* Determine a super set  $\mathcal{S}$  of  $\mathcal{R}(f, g)$ .  
*Step 2:* Recover  $\mathcal{P}(f, g)$  from  $\mathcal{S}$ .
- For step 1 we shall introduce the notion of “compatible reducers”.

## Compatible reducers 2/6

- A **condition** is a map

$$\mathbf{c}: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \{0, 1\},$$

where 0 and 1 are identified with False and True, respectively. Let

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{c}} := \{f \in \mathcal{M} \mid \mathbf{c}(f) = 1\}.$$

- A **reducer** consists of a condition  $\mathbf{c}$  and a map

$$\mathbf{r}: \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{c}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}.$$

- A reducer  $\mathbf{r}$  is **compatible** if  $\mathbf{c}(f)$  is a projective invariant of  $\text{img } f \subset \mathbb{P}^{\dim f}$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{M}$  and if for all  $f, g \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{c}}$  we have

$$\mathcal{R}(f, g) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{r}(f), \mathbf{r}(g)).$$

- **Goal.** Find a compatible reducer  $\mathbf{r}$  such that computing a super set of  $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{r}(f), \mathbf{r}(g))$  is easier than computing a super set of  $\mathcal{R}(f, g)$ .

## Compatible reducers 3/6

- **Recall.** We can associate to a map a class and vice versa as follows:
- The **class** of a map  $f \in \mathcal{M}$  such that  $\text{dom } f = \mathbb{P}^2$  is defined as

$$[f] = d e_0 - m_1 e_1 - \dots - m_r e_r.$$

if  $f$  has an  $m_i$ -fold base point at  $p_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r$  and  $d = \deg f_0 = \dots = \deg f_n$ . The case when  $\text{dom } f = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  is similar.

- The **parametric map** of a class

$$c := d e_0 - m_1 e_1 - \dots - m_r e_r$$

is (up to  $\text{Aut } \mathbb{P}^n$  and if it exists) defined as

$$\Psi_c: \mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n, \quad x \mapsto \left( \frac{f_0}{q}(x) : \dots : \frac{f_n}{q}(x) \right)$$

where  $\langle f_0, \dots, f_n \rangle$  is a basis of all forms on  $\mathbb{P}^2$  of degree  $d$  that have a  $m_i$ -fold base point  $p_i$  and  $q := \gcd(f_0, \dots, f_n)$ . We denote  $n+1$  by  $h^0(c)$ .

## Compatible reducers 4/6

- Let  $[f] = d e_0 - m_1 e_1 - \dots - m_r e_r$  for  $f \in \mathcal{M}$  with  $m_i > 0$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq r$ . The **greatest common divisor** of  $f$  is defined as  $\gcd[f] := \gcd(d, m_1, \dots, m_r)$ . The **canonical class** of  $f$  is defined as  $\kappa_f := -3 e_0 + e_1 + \dots + e_r$ . The product  $-e_0^2 = e_j^2 = -1$  and  $e_i \cdot e_j = 0$  for  $0 \leq i < j \leq r$  defines an **intersection product between classes**.

- $\mathbf{r}_0(f) := \Psi_{[f]}$  and condition  $\mathbf{c}_0(f)$  is defined as  $\dim f < h^0([f]) - 1$ .  
 $\mathbf{r}_1(f) := \Psi_c$  with  $c := [f] + \kappa_f$  and condition  $\mathbf{c}_1(f)$  is defined as

$$h^0(c) > 1 \quad \wedge \quad \neg([f]^2 > [\Psi_c]^2 = c \cdot [\Psi_c] = 0).$$

$$\mathbf{r}_2(f) := \Psi_b \text{ with } b := \frac{1}{\gcd[f]}[f] \text{ and } \mathbf{c}_2(f) \text{ is defined as } \gcd[f] > 1.$$

- Theorem.** The reducer  $\mathbf{r}_i$  for  $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$  is compatible:  
If  $(\mathbf{c}_i(f), \mathbf{c}_i(g)) = (1, 1)$ , then

$$\mathcal{R}(f, g) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{r}_i(f), \mathbf{r}_i(g)).$$

If  $\mathbf{c}_i(f) \neq \mathbf{c}_i(g)$ , then  $\mathcal{P}(f, g) = \emptyset$ .

If  $(\mathbf{c}_i(f), \mathbf{c}_i(g)) = (0, 0)$ , then  $\text{img } f$  is covered by either lines or conics (there are 5 **base cases**).

- **Theorem.** If  $f \in \mathcal{M}$  such that

$$\mathbf{c}_0(f) = \mathbf{c}_1(f) = \mathbf{c}_2(f) = 0,$$

then either one of the following five **base cases** holds:

**B1.**  $h^0([f]) = 3$ ,  $[f]^2 = 1$  and  $\text{img } f \cong \mathbb{P}^2$ .

**B2.**  $h^0([f]) = 4$ ,  $[f]^2 = 2$  and  $\text{img } f$  is a quadric surface.

**B3.**  $h^0([f]) = [f]^2 + 1$ ,  $1 \leq [f]^2 \leq 8$  and  $f$  defines a del Pezzo surface.

**B4.**  $h^0(2[f] + \kappa_f) \geq 2$  and  $\text{img } f$  is a surface covered by lines.

**B5.**  $h^0([f] + \kappa_f) \geq 2$  and  $\text{img } f$  is a surface covered by conics or lines.

- **Proposition.** If  $f, g \in \mathcal{M}$  are both characterized by **B1**, then

$$\mathcal{R}(f, g) \subseteq \mathcal{S} := \{g^{-1} \circ r_c \circ f \mid c \in \mathcal{I}\} \quad \text{where} \quad \{r_c\}_{c \in \mathcal{I}} \cong \text{Aut } \mathbb{P}^2.$$

- **Remark.** For the remaining cases **B2–B5** we can apply methods of J.G. Alcázar, M. Bizzarri, M. Hauer, C. Hermoso, M. Lávička, J. Vršek, ... etc.

## Compatible reducers 6/6

### Algorithm.

- **input.** birational maps  $f, g \in \mathcal{M}$  with domain in  $\{\mathbb{P}^2, \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1\}$ .
- **output.** projective isomorphisms  $\mathcal{P}(f, g) = \{\rho \in \text{Aut } \mathbb{P}^{\dim f} \mid \rho(\text{img } f) = \text{img } g\}$ .
- **method.**

Compute the base points of  $f$  and  $g$ .

$(\hat{f}, \hat{g}) \leftarrow (f, g)$

**for**  $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$  **do**

**while**  $(\mathbf{c}_i(\hat{f}), \mathbf{c}_i(\hat{g})) = (1, 1)$  **do**  $(\hat{f}, \hat{g}) \leftarrow (\mathbf{r}_i(\hat{f}), \mathbf{r}_i(\hat{g}))$

**if**  $(\mathbf{c}_i(\hat{f}), \mathbf{c}_i(\hat{g})) \neq (0, 0)$  **return**  $\emptyset$

Compute a super set  $\mathcal{S}$  of  $\mathcal{R}(\hat{f}, \hat{g})$  using the fact that

$\hat{f}$  and  $\hat{g}$  are both characterized by base case **B1**, **B2**, **B3**, **B4** or **B5**.

Recover  $\mathcal{P}(f, g)$  from  $\mathcal{S}$  by solving a system of alg. equations.

**return**  $\mathcal{P}(f, g)$

# The End.